

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

STEPHEN SULLIVAN, WHITE OAK FUND LP,
CALIFORNIA STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT
SYSTEM, SONTERRA CAPITAL MASTER FUND,
LTD., FRONTPOINT PARTNERS TRADING FUND,
L.P., AND FRONTPOINT AUSTRALIAN
OPPORTUNITIES TRUST on behalf of themselves and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

BARCLAYS PLC, BARCLAYS BANK PLC,
BARCLAYS CAPITAL INC., BNP PARIBAS S.A.,
CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, N.A., COÖPERATIEVE
CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-BOERENLEENBANK B.A.,
CRÉDIT AGRICOLE S.A., CRÉDIT AGRICOLE CIB,
DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DB GROUP SERVICES UK
LIMITED, HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK PLC,
ICAP PLC, ICAP EUROPE LIMITED, J.P. MORGAN
CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., THE
ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, SOCIÉTÉ
GÉNÉRALE SA, UBS AG AND JOHN DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants

Docket No.: 13-cv-02811 (PKC)

[PROPOSED]
ORDER AWARDING SERVICE AWARD TO PLAINTIFF

This matter came for a duly-noticed hearing on September 7, 2023 (the “Settlement Hearing”), upon Class Counsel’s Motion for Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses and Plaintiff’s Request for Service Award (“Fee and Expense Application”) in the above-captioned action (the “Action”). The Court has considered the Fee and Expense Application and all supporting and other related materials, including the matters presented at the Settlement Hearing. Due and adequate notice of the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs and Société

Générale entered into on March 31, 2023 (the “Settlement Agreement”)¹ (ECF No. 562-1) having been given to the Settlement Class Members, the Settlement Hearing having been held, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings held herein, having found the Settlement of the Action to be fair, reasonable and adequate, and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Plaintiffs and Société Générale (the “Parties”) and all Settlement Class Members who have not timely and validly requested exclusion and subject matter jurisdiction over the Action to approve the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits attached thereto.

2. Notice of the Fee and Expense Application was provided to potential Settlement Class Members in a reasonable manner, and such notice complies with Rule 23(h)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process requirements.

3. The Court hereby awards a Service Award as follows in recognition of the recipient’s contributions and reasonable expenses related to the Action on behalf of the Settlement Class:

a. \$_____ to California State Teachers’ Retirement System;

4. Without affecting the finality of this Order in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the Parties and the Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation, or enforcement of this Order.

¹ Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms used have the meanings set forth and defined in the Settlement Agreement.

5. In the event that the Settlement is terminated, or the Effective Date does not occur in accordance with the terms of the Settlement, this Order shall be null and void, of no further force or effect, and without prejudice to any of the Parties, and may not be introduced as evidence or used in any actions or proceedings by any Person against the Parties.

6. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Service Awards are independent of the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement and are also independent of the Court's consideration of the Distribution Plan.

7. The Service Award may be paid from the Settlement Fund upon entry of this Order, subject to the terms, conditions, and obligations of the Settlement Agreement which terms, conditions, and obligations are incorporated herein.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this ____ day of _____, 2023.

Honorable P. Kevin Castel
United States District Judge